

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,208	06/20/2003	Curtis A. Vock	409512	7339	
30955	7590 . 06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
LATHROP & GAGE LC			MILLER, CRAIG S		
4845 PEARL 1	EAST CIRCLE				
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOULDER, CO 80301			2857		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/601,208	VOCK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	,		
		Craig Miller	2857	Au		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Ju</u>	<u>ıne 2003</u> .				
<u> </u>	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
·	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in	n accordance with the practice under E	-x раπе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Cla	aims					
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	 1-15 is/are pending in the application. e above claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or expression. 	wn from consideration.				
Application Pape	rs .					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		_				
	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate)-152)		

Tech. Center 2857

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. The species best illustrated by claims 1-9.
- II. The species best illustrated by claims 10-15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently no claim is deemed generic.

2. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 3. A telephone call was made to Curtis A. Vock on 25 May 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Craig Steven Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-2219. Art Unit facsimile services are now available at (703) 308-7722.

The Examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays and Thursdays from 7:30am-4:00pm EDT. Should repeated attempts to reach the Examiner be unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Marc Hoff may be reached at (571) 272-2216.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800.

Craig Steven Miller (ss) 27 May 2004

MARC S. HOPE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800